

# Low Level MIP Technology from Geoprobe Systems<sup>®</sup>

Geoprobe<sup>®</sup>-DI Dan Pipp June 2017



# LL MIP Method

The Low Level (LL) MIP method significantly improves MIP detector sensitivity and signal to noise ratios.

Users will have greater confidence with their results when LL MIP is used where standard MIP detector signals have reached their practical limits.

LL MIP expands the utility of MIP by mapping certain VOC plumes an order of magnitude lower than the standard MIP method.

Standard MIP is still required in areas of ample and robust signal.

# LL MIP Technology



Geoprobe Systems

- Enhances detector sensitivity ~10x.
- Adaptable to existing FI based MIP systems.
- > Operate with any MIP probe or trunkline.
- Method is fully automated in software.
- Simple to switch between methods.



# LL MIP Operation

TL carrier flow is stopped.

Under a concentration gradient VOCs move across the membrane via diffusion.

In the LL method, VOCs will accumulate behind the membrane until the TL carrier gas flow is resumed. Then the contaminant mass (peak) is transported to the detectors.



# LL MIP Method



Comparison of 500ppb TCE standard response tests performed by standard MIP and LL MIP methods.



# LL MIP Equipment



Operate LL technology with an FI based MIP system with any standard gas phase detectors.



### LL MIP Equipment



> MIP ➢ MiHpt ➢ MIP-CPT ► MIP-HTL

Operate LL MIP technology with any MIP trunkline or MIP tooling package you



### LL MIP Equipment



Only additional piece of equipment needed to operate the LL MIP method.

Add this controller to your existing FI based MIP system.

LL MIP Controller - MP9000



### LL MIP System Setup





XSD

# Field Site Examples Slangerup, Denmark



Geoprobe Systems

Former Dry Cleaners Site Contaminants Include: PCE, TCE





## LL MIP Response Testing



Detection limit study by a Danish LL MIP provider has shown the TCE detectable at 10ppb with the 50ppb response achievable throughout a project based upon surface response tests.

Geoprobe Systems

50

10 20

40 60

Abs. Piezometric Pressure (psi)

80

100 110

#### LL MIP Logs EC HPT PSI XSD FID EC (mS/m) PID Max ( $\mu V \times 10^5$ ) XSD Max (µV ×10<sup>5</sup>) FID Max ( $\mu V \times 10^5$ ) HPT Press. Max (psi) 40 60 100 110 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 0.5 0.5 40 60 80 100 10 20 80 2.0 0.0 1.0 0.2 1.0 20 0 10 15 20 - Depth (ft) - 52 -30 -35 -40 45



### LL MIP Log Cross section



EC vs. XSD response

These 3 logs move away from the source - left to right.

The middle log is approximately 15' higher in elevation than the other 2 logs.



Former Gas Station Petroleum release





Logs were run on the edge of a hydrocarbon plume

Overlay of: LL MIP log - red Standard MIP log - black

Detector response in fine grain lithology



#### LL MIP Logs Close up comparison of the PID & FID responses from the log on previous slide.

8









Logs were run on the edge of a hydrocarbon plume.

Overlay of: LL MIP log - red Standard MIP log - black

Fine Grain Lithology



# LL MIP Logs Standard MIP PID vs. LL MIP PID Response







Former Military Airfield Site Contaminants Include Primarily: TCE, Carbon Tetrachloride

# Standard MIP-XSD Log WS19 with Soil Sample Results



Soil results 10'-20' are all <50µg/kg

Higher soil results below 20' correspond with higher detector signal

Soil samples contain TCE and some carbon tetrachloride.

# Standard MIP – LL MIP Comparison

Logs run within 3'

The clean XSD baseline allows the LL MIP log to expose the <50µg/kg concentrations above 20'

Geoprobe Systems

The readings below 20' jump out making field decisions easier







LL MIP Log in mixed hydrocarbon – CVOC plume

Graphs L-R: EC, PID, FID, XSD

Hydrocarbons to 20' CVOCs 20-30'

Fine grained lithology



#### XSD M... ( $\mu$ V $\times$ 10<sup>5</sup>) EC (mS/m) 50 100 150 0.2 1.5 1 0 5 10 Depth (ft) 15 20 25 30

LL MIP Logs

Logs on the edge of a XVOC plume

Overlay of: LL MIP log - red Standard MIP log - black

Fine grained lithology





Close up comparison of the XSD responses from the log on previous slide compared to a colocated standard MIP log.

Standard MIP – left LL MIP – right

XSD Max / XSD Max



Grain Elevator Spill Site Contaminants Include Primarily: 1,2-DCA, Chloroform and Carbon Tetrachloride





Logs on the edge of a XVOC Plume

Overlay of: LL MIP log - red Standard MIP log - black

Coarse grain lithology





Close up comparison of the XSD responses from the log on previous slide.

Standard MIP – left LL MIP – right





Replicate LL Logs with Analytical data

Coarse grained lithology

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane CT = Carbon Tetrachloride CFM = Chloroform





Replicate LL Logs with Analytical data

Coarse grained lithology

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane CT = Carbon Tetrachloride CFM = Chloroform

# LL MIP Method Summary



- Improves detector sensitivity ~10x
- Define plumes further with greater confidence
- Capable of tracking plumes to <50ppb range</p>
- Add controller to FI based MIP system
- Increase the utilization of your MIP system
- Does not replace standard MIP logging





Geoprobe Systems<sup>®</sup> 1835 Wall Street Salina, KS 67401 1-800-436-7762 geoprobe.com

> Copyright<sup>©</sup> 2017 by Kejr Inc. All Rights Reserved